

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/646,340	08/21/2003	Fong Liaw	НАММР002	8337
21912 VAN PELT Y	7590 04/09/2007 I & JAMES LLP	EXAMINER		
10050 N. FOOTHILL BLVD #200			SHAND, ROBERTA A	
CUPERTINO, CA 95014			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2616	
<u> </u>				<u>-</u>
SHORTENED STATUTOR	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MO	NTHS	04/09/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

V
~)\

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/646,340	LIAW ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Roberta A. Shand	2616	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from 1, cause the application to become ABANDONE	I.  ely filed  the mailing date of this communication.  O (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 Au	ugust 2003.	,	
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ This	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1-16 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or</li> </ul>	vn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct and the correct of the control of the co	epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list</li> </ul>	s have been received. s have been received in Application in the contraction is a second in the contraction	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)		,	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite	
S. Patent and Trademark Office			

Application/Control Number: 10/646,340 Page 2

Art Unit: 2616

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

- 2. Claims 1- 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hartmann (U.S. 5905873).
- 3. Regarding claim 1, Hartmann teaches a method of processing a packet comprising: receiving the packet; translating the packet from a first protocol-specific format (generic protocol) to a canonical packet format (col. 3, lines 17-26); translating the packet from the canonical packet format to a second protocol-specific format (fig. 8); and forwarding the packet.
- 4. Regarding claim 2, Hartmann teaches (fig. 7b) the canonical packet format is a generic format (predefined generic packet format) that can represent multiple protocol-specific formats.
- 5. Regarding claim 3, Hartmann teaches (fig. 8) the translating is performed in a network device.
- 6. Regarding claim 4, Hartmann teaches (fig. 7b) the translating is performed in a network switch.

Application/Control Number: 10/646,340 Page 3

Art Unit: 2616

7. Regarding claim 5, Hartmann teaches (fig. 7b) the translating is performed in a network switch that includes a pooling switch.

- 8. Regarding claim 6, Hartmann teaches the first and second protocol-specific formats are the same. Hartmann teaches (col. 3, lines 17-26) that the packet format types can comprised a plurality of protocols.
- 9. Regarding claim 7, Hartmann teaches (col. 15, line 60 col. 16, line 12) translating includes copying protocol-specific fields from the packet in the first protocol-specific format.
- 10. Regarding claim 8, Hartmann teaches (col. 15, line 60 col. 16, line 12) translating includes copying protocol-specific fields from the packet in the first protocol-specific format to protocol-specific fields in the packet in the canonical packet format.
- 11. Regarding claim 9, Hartmann teaches (col. 15, line 60 col. 16, line 12) translating includes copying general fields from the packet in the first protocol-specific format.
- 12. Regarding claim 10, Hartmann teaches (col. 15, line 60 col. 16, line 12) translating includes copying multiple protocol-specific fields from the packet in the first protocol-specific format.

Application/Control Number: 10/646,340

Art Unit: 2616

13. Regarding claim 11, Hartmann teaches (col. 15, line 60 – col. 16, line 12) translating includes copying protocol-specific fields from the packet in the first protocol-specific format to common fields in the packet in the canonical (generic) packet format.

Page 4

- 14. Regarding claim 12, Hartmann teaches (col. 15, line 60 col. 16, line 12) a method of processing a packet as recited in claim 1 wherein translating includes: copying protocol-specific fields from the packet in the first protocol-specific format to protocol-specific fields in the packet in the canonical packet format; copying general fields from the packet in the first protocol-specific format to general fields in the packet in the canonical packet format; and copying common fields from the packet in the first protocol-specific format to common fields in the packet in the canonical packet format (It is inherent is Hartmann's system that copying takes place in order to convert the generic packet format back to the original protocol).
- 15. Regarding claim 13, Hartmann teaches (figs. 7b and 8) the translating is performed in a network device; translating the packet from the first protocol-specific format to the canonical (generic packet format) packet format occurs during data ingress; and translating the packet from the canonical packet format to the second protocol-specific format occurs during data egress.
- 16. Regarding claim 14, Hartmann teaches (col. 3, lines 17-26) a network device for processing a packet comprising: an ingress interface for receiving the packet (fig. 7b, input packet format); an ingress processing engine configured to translate a packet from a first protocol-specific format to a canonical packet format; an egress processing engine (output packet

Application/Control Number: 10/646,340 Page 5

Art Unit: 2616

format) configured to translate the packet from the canonical packet format to a second protocolspecific format; and an egress interface for forwarding the packet.

- 17. Regarding claim 15, Hartmann teaches (fig. 6) the ingress and egress interfaces are the same physical interface Hartmann teaches in fig. 6 that the crossbar is single sided so the protocol converters are both input and output devices.
- 18. Regarding claim 16, Hartmann teaches (fig. 8) the ingress and egress processing engines are implemented on a single physical processor.

## Conclusion

- 19. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Roberta A. Shand whose telephone number is 571-272-3161. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:00am-5:30pm.
- 20. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Huy Vu can be reached on 571-272-3155. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.
- 21. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

Application/Control Number: 10/646,340

Art Unit: 2616

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Roberta A Shand Examiner Art Unit 2616 Page 6

HUY D. VU SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600